

## TFRI MOHCCN 10<sup>th</sup> Scientific Meeting MOHCCN Poster Presentations: Patient Choice Awards Evaluation Criteria

Poster number: \_\_\_\_\_ Presenter's name: \_\_\_\_\_

Presenter's title:  Master's student  PhD student/candidate  Post-doctoral fellow  Clinical fellow

Patient Working Group (PWG) reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_

**Notes:** this review is to be conducted from a patient perspective (a scientific review of the poster presentations is being conducted separately) and **will evaluate how the trainee is able to communicate their research in plain language**. PWG reviewers are expected to introduce themselves to the Poster Presenter and specify that they are a member of the Patient Working Group - this will encourage the trainee to present their research in an accessible manner. Trainees will be expected to present a brief (3-4 minutes) pitch about their research and PWG reviewers are encouraged to ask questions.

Criteria	Score from 1 to 7
<b>1. Background Information</b> The presenter provided justification for <i>why</i> the research is important.	
<b>2. Research Question</b> The presenter clearly explained the scientific question(s) driving their work.	
<b>3. Methodology, Patient Engagement, and Results</b> The presenter provided an overview of <i>how</i> the research was done and the results they obtained. They described how patients were involved in the research.	
<b>4. Importance and Potential Impacts of the Research</b> The presenter clearly communicated the importance and potential impacts of the research, and provided a description of how these will be communicated to patients and the public (knowledge translation).	
<b>5. Communication</b> Overall, the presenter used plain language to convey concepts clearly and effectively. They provided clear, concise, and thoughtful responses to questions.	
<b>6. Poster Presentation</b> The poster was well designed and logically organized, and the graphics were engaging. <i>*The poster is intended for a scientific audience; the presenter should be able to convey technical information in plain language, if requested.</i>	
<b>Total SCORE out of 42</b>	

### Evaluation Scale

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
<b>Absent</b>	<b>Not satisfactory</b>	<b>Okay</b> Major weakness	<b>Good</b> Some weakness	<b>Very Good</b> Few minor weaknesses	<b>Excellent</b> No weakness	<b>Outstanding</b> Exceeds expectation

Comments to the presenter:

Please rate your understanding of the subject matter **before** listening to the poster presentation (circle the correct number/line):

- 1 - I've never heard of it
- 2 - I've heard of it, but I don't really know what it is
- 3 - I have a general understanding of it
- 4 - I know enough that I could describe it to someone unfamiliar with it
- 5 - I would feel comfortable answering questions about it publicly

Please rate your understanding of the subject matter **after** listening to the poster presentation and asking the presenter questions (circle the correct number/line):

- 1 - I've never heard of it
- 2 - I've heard of it, but I don't really know what it is
- 3 - I have a general understanding of it
- 4 - I know enough that I could describe it to someone unfamiliar with it
- 5 - I would feel comfortable answering questions about it publicly